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## STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION

## Recruitment of Sub-Inspector in Delhi Police, CAPFs and Assistant Sub Inspector in CISF Examination, 2019: Declaration of Final Result

The Staff Selection Commission vide result dated 10.12 .2021 had shortlisted 4003 candidates ( 396 Female and 3607 Male) for appearing in the Document Verification of Sub-Inspector in Delhi Police, CAPFs and Assistant Sub-Inspector in CISF Examination, 2019.
2. After completion of all stages of recruitment as per Notice and based on the merit-cum-preference of posts/force, candidates have been finally selected and allocated to the following posts:

A - Sub-Inspector in Delhi Police
B - Sub-Inspector in Border Security Force (BSF)
C - Sub-Inspector in Central Industrial Security Force (CISF)
D - Sub-Inspector in Central Reserve Police Force (CRPF)
E - Sub-Inspector in Indo-Tibetan Border Police Force (ITBPF)
F - Sub-Inspector in Sashastra Seema Bal (SSB)
3 Category-wise details of 246 female candidates provisionally qualified for appointment are as given below:

|  | SC | ST | OBC | EWS | UR | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vacancies | 40 | 19 | 60 | 21 | 108 | 248 |
| Candidates <br> Recommended | 40 | 19 | 60 | 21 | $106^{*}$ | 246 |

*01 SC, 18 OBC and 06 EWS candidates have qualified at UR standard.
Note 1: 02 vacancies under UR category have been kept unfilled against Temporary Unfit candidates.
3.1 Category wise break-up of the female candidates selected for the posts of SubInspector along with the cut-off details i.e. marks obtained and Date of Birth of the last selected candidates are as given below:

| Post <br> Code | Category <br> Code | Vacancy | Filled | Details of last selected candidate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total <br> Marks | Paper-II <br> Marks | Date of Birth <br> (DD-MMM-YY) |
| A | 0 | 8 | 8 | 290.32702 | 170.25 | 12-Nov-96 |
| A | 1 | 14 | 14 | 258.00432 | 159.50 | 14-Apr-97 |
| A | 2 | 6 | 6 | 264.42317 | 172.50 | 25-Feb-94 |
| A | 9 | 36 | 36 | 302.25702 | 181.25 | 26-May-97 |
| A | $6^{\wedge}$ | 15 | 15 | 292.96830 | 176.25 | 21-Aug-98 |
| B | 0 | 1 | 1 | 268.80172 | 169.00 | 16-Dec-97 |
| B | 1 | 5 | 5 | 216.77861 | 136.25 | 23-Mar-96 |


| B | 2 | 2 | 2 | 248.17683 | 170.00 | 26-Jan-98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 6 | 10 | 10 | 269.49357 | 163.75 | 15-May-93 |
| B | 9 | 13 | 13 | 275.36593 | 160.00 | 11-Sep-95 |
| C | 0 | 6 | 6 | 282.26624 | 170.75 | 30-Apr-98 |
| C | 1 | 10 | 10 | 241.56869 | 150.00 | $29-$ Feb-92 |
| C | 2 | 5 | 5 | 255.91554 | 173.75 | 06-May-98 |
| C | 6 | 18 | 18 | 283.43865 | 173.75 | 08-Oct-98 |
| C | 9 | 30 | 30 | 292.08574 | 177.50 | 01-Jan-97 |
| D | 0 | 3 | 3 | 265.78127 | 168.75 | 20-Aug-96 |
| D | 1 | 5 | 5 | 213.10968 | 132.50 | 16-Oct-95 |
| D | 2 | 2 | 2 | 254.24754 | 174.50 | 10-Jan-98 |
| D | 6 | 9 | 9 | 265.74378 | 153.00 | 01-Mar-94 |
| D | 9 | 13 | 13 | 268.23365 | 160.00 | 14-Oct-98 |
| E | 0 | 1 | 1 | 277.61662 | 185.25 | 09-Dec-98 |
| E | 1 | 3 | 3 | 212.54759 | 131.25 | 27-Dec-94 |
| E | 2 | 1 | 1 | 250.94766 | 155.25 | 20-May-95 |
| E | 6 | 1 | 1 | 278.17191 | 161.50 | 26-Feb-95 |
| E | 9 | 3 | 3 | 278.70160 | 171.25 | 17-Jun-97 |
| F | 0 | 2 | 2 | 278.69007 | 175.25 | 21-Jun-95 |
| F | 1 | 3 | 3 | 238.72696 | 158.75 | 29-Oct-96 |
| F | 2 | 3 | 3 | 253.26824 | 176.75 | 16-Mar-93 |
| F | 6 | 7 | 7 | 279.15575 | 166.25 | 09-Jun-96 |
| F | 9 | 13 | 13 | 283.79223 | 171.50 | 19-Sep-96 |

^includes category codes 4 (OBC for Delhi only) and 6 (OBC)
Category codes: 0-EWS, 1-SC, 2-ST, 6-OBC and 9-UR.
Note-2: Candidates who had filled Category Code-4 were considered as OBC for Delhi Police and UR/EWS (as applicable) for CAPFs.

Note-3: Rows corresponding to Posts and Categories with 'Nil' reported vacancies have not been included in these tables.
4. Category-wise details of $\mathbf{2 4 8 0}$ male candidates qualified for appointment are as given below:

### 4.1 Sub-Inspector in Delhi Police:

|  |  | SC | ST | OBC | EWS | UR | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vacancies | Open | 02 | 06 | 38 | 13 | 47 | 106 |
|  | Ex-Servicemen | 01 | 00 | 01 | 00 | 03 | 05 |
|  | Special categories <br> of Ex-Servicemen | 01 | 01 | 02 | 00 | 04 | 08 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ |
|  | Open | 02 | 06 | 38 | 13 | $47^{*}$ | 106 |
|  | Ex-Servicemen | $02 \#$ | 00 | $\mathbf{0 2 \#}$ | 00 | $06 \#$ | 10 |
|  | Special categories <br> of Ex-Servicemen | 00 | 01 | 01 | 00 | 01 | 03 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ |

*06 OBC and 08 EWS candidates have qualified at UR standard.
\# As per Para- 3.2.2 of the Notice of Examination, 01 SC, 01 OBC and 03 UR candidates selected against unfilled vacancies of 'Special Categories of ESM'.

### 4.2 Sub Inspector in CAPFs:

|  | SC | ST | OBC | EWS | UR | Total | ESM |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vacancies | 360 | 175 | 644 | 201 | 985 | 2365 | 253 |
| Candidates <br> Recommended | 359 | 175 | 643 | 200 | $984^{\star}$ | $2361^{\$}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 3}^{\wedge}$ |

* 02 SC. 217 OBC and 69 EWS candidates have qualified at UR standard.
^ 253 ESM: 11 SC, 01 ST, 67 OBC, 05 EWS and 169 UR.
$\$ 01 \mathrm{SC}, 01 \mathrm{OBC}, 01 \mathrm{EWS}$ and 01 UR vacancies kept vacant in view of various Court Orders.
4.3 Category wise break-up of the male candidates selected for the posts of SubInspector along with the cut-off details i.e. marks obtained and Date of Birth of the last selected candidates are given below. In cases where candidates are selected against horizontal vacancies (i.e. 3: Ex-Servicemen, 3*: Special Categories of ESM), total marks of such last selected candidates are reflected against their respective horizontal category, not against their vertical category (i.e. 0-EWS, 1-SC, 2-ST, 6-OBC, 9-UR):

| Post Code | Category Code | SubCategory Code | Vacancy | Filled | Details of last selected candidate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total Marks | $\begin{gathered} \text { Paper- } \\ \text { II } \\ \text { Marks } \end{gathered}$ | Date of Birth (DD-MMMYY) |
| A | 0 | - | 13 | 13 | 312.82556 | 175.75 | 01-Aug-98 |
| A | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | 307.77817 | 183.25 | 28-Dec-93 |
| A | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2\# | 203.52245 | 147.00 | 26-Feb-85 |
| A | 1 | 3* | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| A | 2 | - | 6 | 6 | 281.04617 | 165.75 | 21-Jun-94 |
| A | 2 | 3* | 1 | 1 | 133.56161 | 83.25 | 20-Mar-82 |
| A | $6^{\wedge}$ | - | 38 | 38 | 315.15474 | 193.75 | 04-Jan-97 |
| A | $6^{\wedge}$ | 3 | 1 | 2\# | 282.72132 | 168.75 | 01-Apr-87 |
| A | $6^{\wedge}$ | 3* | 2 | 1 | 251.43805 | 150.75 | 20-Aug-87 |
| A | 9 | - | 47 | 47 | 323.22840 | 180.00 | 10-Jul-97 |
| A | 9 | 3 | 3 | 6\# | 253.68049 | 157.50 | 25-Nov-80 |
| A | 9 | 3* | 4 | 1 | 284.29164 | 160.50 | 06-Oct-87 |
| B | 0 | - | 24 | 24 | 273.49429 | 162.50 | 13-Oct-96 |
| B | 1 | - | 90 | 90 | 223.85219 | 132.50 | 26-Feb-95 |
| B | 2 | - | 33 | 33 | 238.12183 | 155.25 | 24-Apr-95 |
| B | 3 | - | 61 | 61 | 125.50038 | 58.25 | 26-Mar-81 |
| B | 6 | - | 184 | 184 | 271.74973 | 161.50 | 21-Feb-94 |
| B | 9 | - | 249 | 249 | 281.41522 | 168.75 | 20-Jun-97 |
| C | 0 | - | 62 | 62 | 281.30841 | 171.25 | 24-Feb-95 |
| C | 1 | - | 93 | 93 | 248.15793 | 160.75 | 09-Feb-96 |


| C | 2 | - | 46 | 46 | 253.68950 | 170.00 | 03-Mar-92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 3 | - | 69 | 69 | 182.29835 | 92.50 | 11-Jun-86 |
| C | 6 | - | 168 | 168 | 288.92157 | 170.00 | 17-Jul-93 |
| C | 9 | - | 254 | 254 | 302.90394 | 168.75 | 18-Sep-96 |
| D | 0 | - | 103 | 102\$ | 245.06436 | 138.50 | 13-Feb-96 |
| D | 1 | - | 156 | 155\$ | 192.83961 | 117.25 | 02-Jul-95 |
| D | 2 | - | 78 | 78 | 223.62746 | 145.25 | 02-Dec-91 |
| D | 3 | - | 107 | 107 | 93.08846 | 43.25 | 07-Jul-85 |
| D | 6 | - | 280 | 279\$ | 251.88757 | 154.50 | 30-Jun-97 |
| D | 9 | - | 420 | 419\$ | 261.32748 | 152.50 | 10-Mar-96 |
| E | 0 | - | 4 | 4 | 277.65135 | 145.50 | 12-Oct-95 |
| E | 1 | - | 15 | 15 | 241.47443 | 155.00 | 27-Jun-96 |
| E | 2 | - | 8 | 8 | 247.03755 | 160.00 | 22-Nov-92 |
| E | 3 | - | 6 | 6 | 171.43284 | 117.50 | 06-Mar-85 |
| E | 6 | - | 8 | 8 | 288.89088 | 184.75 | 27-Oct-94 |
| E | 9 | - | 17 | 17 | 298.91990 | 168.75 | 10-Aug-99 |
| F | 0 | - | 7 | 7 | 280.01135 | 165.00 | 01-Feb-95 |
| F | 1 | - | 6 | 6 | 247.49665 | 157.25 | 27-Nov-95 |
| F | 2 | - | 10 | 10 | 251.07883 | 152.25 | 06-Oct-96 |
| F | 3 | - | 10 | 10 | 177.74568 | 104.25 | 09-Feb-84 |
| F | 6 | - | 3 | 3@ | - | - | - |
| F | 9 | - | 44 | 37 | 299.96795 | 183.75 | 02-May-95 |

\# 01 SC, 01 OBC and 03 UR candidates selected against unfilled vacancies of 'Special Categories of ESM'.
^ includes category codes 4 (OBC for Delhi only) and 6 (OBC).
\$ Vacancies kept unfilled as per Court Order.
@ Horizontal category candidates selected against these vacancies.
Category codes: 0-EWS, 1-SC, 2-ST, 3-Ex-Servicemen, 3*-Special categories of ExServicemen, 6-OBC and 9-UR.

Note-4: Candidates who had filled Category Code-4 were considered as OBC for Delhi Police and UR/EWS (as applicable) for CAPFs.

Note-5: Rows corresponding to Posts and Categories with 'Nil' reported vacancies, have not been included in these tables.
5. As per the provision under Para-15 of the Notice of the Examination, "In case where more than one candidate secure equal aggregates marks (Paper-I + Paper-II), tie has been resolved by applying the following methods one after another in the given order, till the tie is resolved:
(i) Total marks in Paper-II.
(ii) Date of birth, with older candidates placed higher.
(iii) Alphabetical order of the names of the candidates.
6. Para 14.16 of the Notice of Examination states that "Final result against departmental vacancies will be processed by Delhi Police based on the performance of candidates in Computer Based Examinations after declaration of final result of the said examination by the Commission." Hence, the result for departmental vacancies of

Delhi Police has not been processed by the Commission. Result against departmental vacancies of Delhi Police will be declared by Delhi Police.
7. There are some candidates whose candidatures have been kept as provisional by the concerned Regional Offices of the Commission but are also included in the Select List. Concerned User Departments will check the eligibility of such candidates thoroughly at the time of appointment.
8. Any discrepancy with respect to the selection/ non-selection/ allocation of post/ User Department, etc. may be brought to the Notice of the Commission within a period of one month. Any such representation received after one month will not be entertained by the Commission.
9. If a candidate is finally selected and does not receive any correspondence from the Commission or the concerned User Department within a period of one year after declaration of result, he/ she must bring this to the notice of the User Department immediately thereafter.
10. Detailed marks of the selected and non-selected candidates shall be made available on the website of the Commission from 04.02.2022 to 23.02.2022.

